

# RSA algorithm for blurred on blinded deconvolution technique

\*Kirti Bhadauria<sup>1</sup>, \*U. Dutta<sup>2</sup>, \*\*Priyank gupta<sup>3</sup>

\*Computer Science and Engineering Department, Maharana Pratap College of technology  
Putli Ghar Road, Near Collectorate, Gwalior-474006, Madhya Pradesh, India

\*\*SOS in Computer Science (Mathematics and Allied Sciences), Jiwaji University, Gwalior-474001, Madhya Pradesh, India

[1kirti.bhadauria1991@gmail.com](mailto:kirti.bhadauria1991@gmail.com), [2unmukh62@hotmail.com](mailto:unmukh62@hotmail.com), [3guptapriyank87@gmail.com](mailto:guptapriyank87@gmail.com)

ABSTRACT - Image deblurring is a process which makes picture sharper and perfect then the original picture. But many times don't want display deblurred image to everyone. That's by we have to provide security features on deblurred images. Previously many security algorithms are applied on many deblurred images but at present RSA is not implemented on blinded deconvolution technique. That's by we preset RSA algorithm on blinded convolution technique with high performance result. The restoration and deblurring is necessary for digital image processing. Image blur is obtained due to the many reasons. Remove the blur of imaging system we applying many method and technique to secure the picture from unwanted users through encryption algorithm and discussed [1].

## I. INTRODUCTION

Blind deconvolution is the recovery of a sharp version of a blurred image when the blur kernel is unknown, recent algorithms has afforded dramatic progress, yet many aspects of the problem remain challenging and hard to understand.

Blind deconvolution is the problem of recovering a sharp version of an input blurry image when the blur kernel is unknown [2]. Mathematically, we wish to decompose a blurred image  $y$  as

$$y = k \otimes x \quad (1)$$

where  $x$  is a visually plausible sharp image, and  $k$  is a non negative blur kernel, whose support is small compared to the image size. This problem is severely ill-posed and there is an infinite set of pairs  $(x, k)$  explaining any observed  $y$ . For example, One undesirable solution that perfectly satisfies eq. 1 is the no-blur explanation:  $k$  is the delta (identity) kernel and  $x = y$ . The ill-posed nature of the problem implies that

additional assumptions on  $x$  or  $k$  must be introduced. Blind deconvolution is the subject of numerous papers in the signal and image processing literature, to name a few consider [3, 4, 5, 6, 7] and the survey in [2]. Despite the exhaustive research, results on real world images are rarely produced. Recent algorithms have proposed to address the ill-posedness of blind deconvolution by characterizing  $x$  using natural image statistics [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. While this principle has lead to tremendous progress, the results are still far from perfect. Blind deconvolution algorithms exhibit some common building principles, and vary in others. The goal of this paper is to analyze the problem and shed new light on recent algorithms. What are the key challenges and what are the important components that make blind deconvolution possible? Additionally, which aspects of the problem should attract further research efforts?

One of the puzzling aspects of blind deconvolution is the failure of the MAP approach. Recent papers emphasize the usage of a sparse derivative prior to favor sharp images. However, a direct application of this principle has not yielded the expected results and all algorithms have required additional components, such as marginalization across all possible images [8, 9, 10], spatially-varying terms [12, 15], or solvers that vary their optimization energy over time [19]. In this paper we analyze the source of the MAP failure. We show that counter-intuitively, the most favorable solution under a sparse prior is usually a blurry image and not a sharp one. Thus, the global optimum of the MAP approach is the no-blur explanation. We discuss solutions to the problem and analyze the answers provided by existing algorithms. We show that one key property making blind deconvolution possible is the strong asymmetry between the dimensionalities of  $x$  and  $k$ . While the number of unknowns in  $x$  increases with image size, the dimensionality of  $k$  remains small. Therefore, while a simultaneous MAP estimation of

both  $x$  and  $k$  fails, a MAP estimation of  $k$  alone (marginalizing over  $x$ ), is well constrained and recovers an accurate kernel. We suggest that while the sparse prior is helpful, the key component making blind deconvolution possible is not the choice of prior, but the thoughtful choice of estimator. Furthermore, we show that with a proper estimation rule, blind deconvolution can be performed even with a weak Gaussian prior.

Finally, we collect motion-blurred data with ground truth. This data allows us to quantitatively compare recent blind deconvolution algorithms. Our evaluation suggests that the variational Bayes approach of [9] outperforms all existing alternatives. This data also shows that the shift invariance convolution model involved in most existing algorithms is often violated and that realistic camera shake includes in-plane rotations.

After deblurring technique many times we convert secured blurred images into deblurred for server communication and other communication. As a communications and transmission of images over internet has increased exponentially since last few years, there is need of security in such image transfer. One of the solutions to secure communication is cryptography. It is the process of converting image pixels into encrypted pixels and decrypt encrypted pixels to original pixels at other end. In a distrusted medium cryptography becomes essential part of secure communication. There are two types of cryptographic algorithm to accomplish these goals: symmetric cryptography, asymmetric cryptography. The initial unencrypted data is referred as normal text. It is encrypted into cipher text with a cryptographic algorithm, which will in turn be decrypted into usable image. In symmetric cryptography single key is used for encryption and decryption e.g. Data Encryption Standard (DES) and Advanced Encryption Standards (AES). In asymmetric algorithm different keys are used to encrypt and decrypt the data. RSA is widely used in electronic e-commerce protocols. With sufficiently long keys and the use of up-to-date implementations; RSA is believed to be totally secure. There are two ways in which we can achieve security

1. encrypted file transfer
2. Strong secure protocol for transmission of files.

RSA (Rivest, Shamir & Adleman) is asymmetric cryptographic algorithm developed in 1977. It generates two keys: public key for encryption and private key to decrypt message [2]. RSA algorithm consist of three phases, phase one is key generation which is to be used

as key to encrypt and decrypt data, second phase is encryption, where actual process of conversion of deblurred image to encrypted deblurred image is being carried out and third phase is decryption, where encrypted text is converted in to plain text at other side. As a public key is used for encryption and is well known to everyone and with the help of public key, hacker can use brute force method to find private key which is used to decrypt message. Secure RSA prevents files from hackers and help safe transmission of files from one end to other [2].

In this paper we introduce an algorithm that is a modification to the existing blinded convolution technique because we implement RSA algorithm on deburred image for security.

In section 2, we implements of proposed algorithm blinded convolution technique using RSA algorithm. In section 3, we produced results which are generated by proposed algorithm. In section 4, presents difference between blinded convolution technique and blinded convolution technique using RSA. In section 5, we give the conclusion of this paper or proposed algorithm.

## II. PROPOSED ALGORITHM

As we have discussed above many time we have to secure deblurred images for many reasons but there is no security algorithm on blinded deconvolution technique that's by we are implementing RSA algorithm on blinded deconvolution technique by following steps.

**Step 1:** get blurred image from user.

**Step 2:** apply blinded deconvolution technique for convert blur image into deblurred image.

**Step 3:** check if image is secured according to user than jump to Step-4 else jump to Step-5

**Step 4:**

Get private and public key using RSA algorithm and apply on all pixels of first row we can select any two prime numbers for example 3 and 11.

Repeat a to h for all pixels of first row

- a. Choose  $p = 3$  and  $q = 11$
- b. Compute  $n = p * q = 3 * 11 = 33$
- c. Compute  $\phi(n) = (p-1) * (q-1) = 2 * 10 = 20$
- d. Choose  $e$  such that  $1 < e < \phi(n)$  and  $e$  and  $n$  are co-prime. Let  $e = 7$
- e. Compute a value for  $d$  such that  $(d * e) \% \phi(n) = 1$ . One solution is the  $d = 3 [(3 * 7) \% 20 = 1]$

- f. Public key is (e, n) : (7,33)
- g. Private key is (d, n) : (3,33)
- h. The encryption of  $m=2$  is  $c=2^7 \% 33 = 29$

**Step 5:** save deblurred image on user’s machine.

**Step 6:** if want to get secured deblurred image an we have to decrypt encrypted image.

- a. The decryption of  $c=29$  is  $m = 29^3 \% 33 = 2$  for above example

**Step 7:** Exit.

The main thing is that if we apply RSA algorithm on each and every pixel of image and the process is very lengthy that’s by we apply only first row of image.


### III. RESULTS

We apply this algorithm on various different types of images and we find results shown in blow.

image size	blinded deconvolution technique with RSA Algorithm(for all pixels of first row only) Time in m.s.
100X100	1678
100X500	1786
500X100	8796
500X500	9342

**Table 1:** Different image size with respective time to convert blurred image to deblurred

Now shown in graph how much time to convert blurred image into deblurred image with encryption using proposed algorithm.



**Figure 1:** Graph for Different image size with respective time to convert blurred image to deblurred

### IV. COMPARE RESULTS


Now we are compare proposed algorithm with previously used blinded deconvolution technique.

This is proposed algorithm apply with various different types of images and we find results shown in blow.

image size	blinded deconvolution technique with RSA Algorithm(for all pixels of first row only) Time in m.s.
100X100	1678
100X500	1786
500X100	8796
500X500	9342

**Table 2:** Different image size with respective time to convert blurred image to deblurred

Now shown in graph how much time to convert blurred image into deblurred image with encryption using proposed algorithm.



**Figure 2:** Graph for Different image size with respective time to convert blurred image to deblurred


Now compare with blinded deconvolution techniques.

image size	blinded deconvolution technique	blinded deconvolution technique with RSA Algorithm(for all pixels of first row only) Time in m.s.
100X100	33	1678
100X500	145	1786
500X100	143	8796
500X500	669	9342

**Table 3:** Different image size with respective time to convert blurred image to deblurred

Now shown in graph how much time to convert blurred image into deblurred image using blinded deconvolution technique and deblurred encryption

using proposed algorithm. Proposed algorithm takes extra time but provide secured image.




**Figure 3:** Graph for Different image size with respective time to convert blurred image to deblurred

In this algorithm we apply RSA only first row of pixels matrix. If we apply for all pixels and the results are.

image size	blinded deconvolution technique	blinded deconvolution technique with RSA Algorithm(for all pixels of first row only)	blinded deconvolution technique with RSA Algorithm(for all pixels) Time in m.s.
100X100	33	1678	155675
100X500	145	1786	778390
500X100	143	8796	745944
500X500	669	9342	3455564

**Table 4:** Different image size with respective time to convert blurred image to deblurred

Now the time graph for different images. We can see in this figure it is more time consuming technique.



**Figure 4:** Graph for Different image size with respective time to convert blurred image to deblurred

## V. CONCLUSION

The conclusion of this paper is if we have normal blurred image than we don't have to convert into deblurred encrypted format. Means we can apply simple blinded deconvolution technique if we want save time. But if we have a secure blurred image than it is compulsory to secure it. Than user can apply this technique to secured deblurred image with less time.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Kirti Bhadauria and U. Dutta, "A Review: RSA Algorithm for blurred image and restoration in different blur techniques". International Journal of Computer Science and Information Technologies, vol.06, no.04, pp.3443-3446, 2015.
- [2] D. Kundur and D. Hatzinakos. Blind image deconvolution. IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, 1996.
- [3] G. R. Ayers and J. C. Dainty. Iterative blind deconvolution method and its application. Opt. Lett. 1988.
- [4]. A. K. Katsaggelos and K. T. Lay. Maximum likelihood blur identification and image restoration using the em algorithm. IEEE Trans. Signal Processing. 1991.
- [5] E. Thi ebaut and J. M. Conan. Strict a priori constraints for maximum-likelihood blind deconvolution. J. Opt. Soc. Am. A, 12(3): 485-492, 1995.
- [6]. A. C. Likas and N. P. Galatsanos. A variational approach for Bayesian blind image deconvolution. IEEE Trans. On Signal Processing, 2004.
- [7] R. Molina, A. K. Katsaggelos, J. Abad, and J. Mateos. A Bayesian approach to blind deconvolution based on dirichlet distributions. In ICASSP, 1997.
- [8] J. W. Miskin and D. J. C. MacKay. Ensemble learning for blind image separation and deconvolution. In Advances in Independent Component Analysis. Springer, 2000.
- [9] R. Fergus, B. Singh, A. Hertzmann, S. T. Roweis, and W. T. Freeman. Removing camera shake from a single photograph. SIGGRAPH, 2006.
- [10] Anat Levin. Blind motion deblurring using image statistics. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS), 2006.
- [11] Jiaya Jia. Single image motion deblurring using transparency. In CVPR, 2007.
- [12] N. Joshi, R. Szeliski, and D. Kriegman. Psf estimation using sharp edge prediction. In CVPR, 2008.
- [13] M. M. Bronstein, A. M. Bronstein, M. Zibulevsky, and Y. Y. Zeevi. Blind Deconvolution of images using optimal sparse representation. Image Processing, IEEE Transactions on, representations. Image Processing, IEEE Transactions on, 14(6): 726-736,2005.
- [14] Q. Shan, W. Xiong, and J. Jia. Rotational motion deblurring of a rigid object from a single image. In ICCV, 2007.
- [15] Q. Shan, J. Jia, and A. Agarwala. High-quality motion deblurring from a single image. SIGGRAPH, 2008.
- [16] Xin Zhou, Xiaofei Tang, "Research and Implementation of RSA Algorithm for Encryption and Decryption", IEEE 6<sup>th</sup> International Forum on Strategic Technology, pp- 1118 – 1121.